## Exhibit

1029

January 26, 2019

Coach House Board of Directors and Sentry Management Co TO:

From: David Wayne Hedrick, Unit E-3, Coach Houses at Leesburg

Re: For the Record as concerns William M Windsor

I received a letter from Mr. Windsor dated January 24, 2019, a copy of which is attached hereto, threatening to file a lawsuit against me for defamation. He further states that he fears for his safety around me and asks that I stay away from him.

Yesterday, January 25, 2019, while working in my garage, Mr. Windsor was observed driving slowly past my garage at least 4 times. I also saw him drive by my home again today. Mr. Windsor, while it is not unlawful to drive by the building that I reside, lives in the building that is closest to the exit to E Oak Terrace Drive, and has much quicker and easier ingress and egress using the route by his building. In light of his aforementioned letter, I am puzzled by the fact that he is taking a route in and out of the project that goes the long way past my home.

After discovering information about this man's felonious actions, and since receiving his attached letter and his actions since sending this letter, I consider Mr. Windsor a threat to both me and my wife.

Respectfully submitted,

David Wayne Hedrick 100 E Oak Terrace Drive, E3

Leesburg, FL 34748

William M. Windsor

eesburg, Florida 34748 100 East Oak Terrace Drive #B3

> OIL MARE SE

Durio white Heoraida BALAS 71 SANGS227 100 BAR DAY TOWARD DRING コタアアル

Section of the control of the contro

## William M. Windsor

100 East Oak Terrace Drive Unit B3, Leesburg, Florida 34748 billwindsor1@outlook.com \* 352-431-4080

January 24, 2019

Mr. David Wayne Hedrick 100 East Oak Terrace Drive Unit E3 Leesburg, Florida 34748

Dear Mr. Hedrick:

I have been informed that you have defamed me to owners at Coach Houses at Leesburg.

I intend to file a lawsuit against you, Omar, and Board members who have defamed me.

I am writing to advise you that I intend to sue you and to request that you retain all emails, text messages, voice mail, or other methods of communication that pertain to me or the effort to replace the people currently acting as Board members.

The duty to preserve relevant information is triggered when litigation is "reasonably anticipated." Rimkus Consulting Group, Inc. v. Cammarata, 688 F. Supp. 2d 598, 612-613 n. 7 (S.D. Tex. 2010). The test for "reasonable anticipation of litigation" varies by jurisdiction, but, in general, reasonable anticipation of litigation arises when a party knows there is a credible threat that it will become involved in litigation. Zubulake v. UBS Warburg LLC, 220 F.R.D. 212, 217 (S.D.N.Y. 2003). D'Onofrio v. SFZ Sports Group, Inc., No. 06-687 (D.D.C. Aug. 24, 2010) (duty to preserve evidence triggered on receipt of letter stating that sender intended to initiate litigation and requesting preservation of electronic documents).

I fear for my safety around you. Please stay away from me, and do not bring guns anywhere near me.

Sincerely,

Illitum M - (Utulion

William M. Windsor